

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/006,591 12/05/2001 1087-3 Katherine S. Bowdish 08/27/2003 7590 Mark Farber **EXAMINER** Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. LEFFERS JR, GERALD G 352 Knotter Drive Cheshire, CT 06410 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1636

.

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/006,591	BOWDISH ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD	1636
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 D	<u> Pecember 2001</u> .	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)			
8) Claim(s) 1-84 are subjected to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, 23-37, 73-74, drawn to a plasmid and host cells wherein the plasmid is a "primer/collar" substrate for the construction of a plasmid encoding a polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1; class 435, subclass 91.4.
- II. Claims 7-12, 38-56, 78-84, drawn to methods of constructing a plasmid encoding a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 91.4.
- III. Claims 13, 16-20, 22, 59-70, 72, drawn to plasmids encoding a polypeptide, and host cells comprising the plasmid, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1; class 435, subclass 69.1.
- IV. Claims 14-15, 21, 57-58, 71, 75-77, drawn to proteins encoded by a recombinant plasmid, classified in class 530, subclass 350.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the plasmids of Group I can be used in conventional cloning techniques to generate a plasmid that encodes a desired polypeptide, or can be labeled and used as a probe to identify sequences encoding a desired polypeptide.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,591

Art Unit: 1636

Inventions of Groups I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an intermediate product in the formation of a plasmid construct by conventional cloning methodologies, or as a substrate for labeling and use as a nucleic acid probe, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions of Groups II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the plasmids produced by the methodology of Group II can be made by conventional recombinant methods, or can be made synthetically.

Inventions of Group II and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the

Application/Control Number: 10/006,591

Art Unit: 1636

instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as usable together and are biologically and functionally distinct from one another. The proteins of Group V are structurally and functionally different from the nucleic acids used and produced by the methods of Group II, and are not required in order to practice the methods of Group II. The methods for producing a plasmid found within Group II are not required for the production of the protein of Group V since the protein can be obtained from alternative methods and sources (e.g. isolated from a cell or made synthetically). Thus, the inventions of the different groups are operationally different and have different functions and effects.

Inventions of Groups I & III and Group V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the different inventions are not disclosed as usable together and are structurally and functionally distinct from one another. For example, the nucleic acids of Groups I & III are not required for the production of the protein of Group V, which can be made synthetically or produced from different vectors. Similarly, the protein of Group V is not required for the production of the nucleic acids of Groups I & III, which can be chemically synthesized or made by recombinant means that do not rely on the proteins of Group V. Thus, the inventions of the different groups are operationally different and have different functions and effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because the non-patent literature search for the different groups is not coextensive (e.g. methods of constructing a

Art Unit: 1636

plasmid expression vector, expression vectors having different functional components), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD whose telephone number is (703) 308-6232. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Gerald & ref. f.
Gerald G Leffers Jr., PhD

Examiner Art Unit 1636